

THE NEXT BANKING ADVANTAGE: TURNING COMPLEXITY INTO AGILITY

BRAND CONNECT

Banking has changed enormously over the past few decades. Customer journeys have moved online, payments have become faster, data has become central to decision-making, and banks have steadily expanded their technology estates to respond to new products, regulations and customer expectations.

Most of those investments solved a real problem at a particular point in time. But they have also left many institutions managing technology environments that are far more complex than they were designed to be.

The challenge now is to make this increasingly sophisticated technology environment work as one-so that systems, data and capabilities can come together effectively when the business needs to respond, adapt or move quickly.

For me, this leads to a fairly simple measure of banking agility: how quickly can a bank take a business decision and turn it into something that works in production?

“

How much distance exists between an idea and the bank's ability to execute it?

That distance is becoming an important measure of competitiveness.

Complexity is rarely created deliberately. It is usually the result of years of sensible decisions made at different points in time.

A new product gets added to the stack. Another system is introduced to solve a specific problem. Data is moved between platforms. An integration is built because it is needed at that moment. Over time, the exceptions become the architecture.

The customer may see one simple journey. Behind it, the bank may be coordinating several systems, processes and teams.

That is when technology complexity becomes a business issue. Product launches take longer. Changes become more expensive. Teams spend time managing dependencies instead of responding to the market.

“

Modernisation should not be measured by how much new technology a bank deploys, but by how much complexity it removes.

For banks, this means creating an environment where existing and new capabilities can work together without introducing another layer of friction.



Architectures need to allow individual capabilities to evolve. Products need to be configurable and agile. Data needs to be available where decisions are actually being made.

AI raises the stakes further. Banks can now use technology not only to automate a process, but also to make better decisions within that process. That distinction matters.

An AI model sitting beside a banking workflow is interesting. Intelligence built into the workflow itself can change how that workflow operates.

The real opportunity is to put intelligence where the work happens.

It could help a credit officer make a better-informed decision, identify the next appropriate action in collections, detect an unusual transaction, support an operations team or help a customer get an answer faster.

The technology becomes valuable when people do not have to think about the technology. They experience a better decision, a faster process or a better outcome.

But intelligence is only as useful as the environment in which it operates. Banks need trusted data, clear controls, appropriate governance and architectures that allow AI to work with existing banking processes. In financial services, experimentation matters, but so do explainability, accountability and resilience.

“

The winning bank will not necessarily be the one using the most AI. It will be the one that makes intelligence useful, responsible and almost invisible in the way banking gets done.

There is a similar change taking place in how banks approach transformation.

Traditionally, an institution could organise transformation around a major programme: define the target state, build a roadmap, make the investment and work towards completion.

The environment around a bank no longer stands still long enough for transformation to have such a clean end point. A regulatory requirement changes. A new payment rail emerges. Customer behaviour shifts. A new technology becomes commercially viable.

Banks therefore need to become better at changing continuously, rather than relying only on large transformation programmes.

Working with financial institutions across markets at Nucleus Software has reinforced this for me. The difficult part of modernisation is often not introducing a new capability. It is introducing that capability without creating another dependency that the bank will have to untangle a few years later.

This is where product agility becomes more than a technology attribute. It becomes a business capability.

If a banking platform can be configured and extended without repeatedly disrupting the underlying environment, the bank gains something valuable: the ability to respond without starting from scratch every time.

Agility does not mean changing everything all the time. For a bank, agility is almost the opposite. It is the ability to change one part of the business while keeping the rest stable.

That balance-faster change without sacrificing resilience-will matter increasingly as technology becomes more accessible.

The differentiator will be how quickly and responsibly a bank can turn that technology into a business outcome.

Can it launch a new product without months of re-engineering? Can it respond to a regulatory requirement without creating another workaround? Can it bring intelligence into decision-making without compromising trust? Can it simplify what a customer experiences while also simplifying what happens behind the scenes?

These questions point to a different definition of a modern bank.

It is not necessarily the bank that adopts every new technology first. It is the bank that can take an idea, make a decision and execute it without having to fight its own technology environment.

That is what I believe the next banking advantage will be.

“

The future-ready bank will not simply be more digital. It will be more adaptable.